REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **9 January 2006**, the examiner reviewed claims 25-51. Claims 25-51 were objected to because of informalities. Claims 25-51 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Objections to the claims

Claims 25-51 were objected to because of informalities.

Applicant has amended independent claims 25, 34, and 43 to correct the informalities noted by the Examiner. No new data has been added.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

Claims 25-51 were rejected as being indefinite.

Applicant has amended claims 25, 27-28, 30-34, 36-37, 39-43, 45-46, and 48-51 to correct the antecedent basis for the limitations noted by the Examiner. No new matter has been added.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 25, 34, and 43 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 26-33, which depend upon claim 25, claims 35-42, which depend upon claim 34, and claims 44-51, which depend upon claim 43, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By

Edward J. Grundler Registration No. 47,615

Date: 8 March 2006

Edward J. Grundler PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 2820 Fifth Street Davis, CA 95616

Tel: (530) 759-1663 FAX: (530) 759-1665

Email: edward@parklegal.com